Annex 3, Appendix 4

Sensitivity of air sampling methods

1 INTRODUCTION

As noted in the introduction of WP4, radiation protection in NORM industries implies the assessment of individual annual effective doses as low as1 mSv/year. In practice, it may be necessary to measure exposures below this level because total exposures often comprise contributions from internal as well as external sources. As well as having the required sensitivity, the assessment should also be sufficiently precise to provide confidence in the interpretation of results, and indeed the selection of protection options.

The assessment of exposures by air sampling is based on the quantitative analysis of the aerosol deposited on the filtrating medium by either radioanalysis (mainly gross alpha and beta counting) or gravimetry¹. The aim of this Appendix is to show how to derive:

- the minimum detectable annual dose based on one day air sampling: and
- the minimum necessary counting time for gross alpha and beta counting (or the maximum possible specific activity for gravimetric analysis) to assess with a predefined relative uncertainty a given level of annual individual effective dose.

The variables considered are:

- the air sampling duration (sampling time of one week, one day or 1.6 hours);
- the background count rates of the alpha and beta counting equipment (or standard error of the gravimetric analysis); and
- the counting time of the samples (1 hour up to 16 hours)

The results of the calculations presented in this Appendix pertain to individual radionuclides, chain segments, and the whole U-238 and Th-232 decay series in secular equilibrium. They illustrate how the capacity of the various air sampling strategies to assess (with a 10% relative uncertainty) a level of annual effective dose of 1 mSv depends on sampling time and counting time (for gross alpha and beta counting or aerosol specific activity (for gravimetric analysis). For more details on the calculation methods and results the reader is referred to JP Degrange 04 [1].

2 BASIC RADIOLOGICAL DATA AND CHARACTERISTICS OF AIR SAMPLES ANALYSIS METHODS

2.1 Basic radiological data associated with the ²³⁸U and ²³²Th natural chains

Table 1 and Table 2 show the radionuclide composition of the ²³⁸U and ²³²Th natural chains. For each radionuclide, the equilibrium fraction Eq_{si} (under the secular equilibrium hypothesis) and the ratio R_{si} (respectively R_{si}) between the alpha (respectively beta) and total activity is given. In these tables, pure (or almost pure) alpha emitters are presented in yellow, while pure (or almost pure) beta emitters are presented in blue.

¹ These are the most commonly used analytical techniques, although other techniques (e.g. x-ray fluorescence) are known to have been used.

Segment	Nuclide	Equilibrium	Activit	y ratio
		fraction	Alpha	Beta
		Eq _{si}	Rasi	$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{\beta}si}$
U238+	U238	1	1	
	Th234	1		1
	Pa234m	0.998		1
	Pa234	0.0033		1
U234	U234	1	1	
Th230	Th230	1	1	
Ra226+	Ra226	1	1	
	Rn222	1	1	
	Po-218	1	0.9998	0.0002
	At218	0.9998	1	
	Pb214	0.0002		1
	Bi214	1	0.00021	0.99979
	Po214	0.9998	1	
Pb210+	Pb210	1		1
	Bi21 0	1		1
Po210	Po210	1	1	

 Table1 : Segment composition, equilibrium fractions and activity ratios (²³⁸U chain)

 Table 2 : Segment composition, equilibrium fractions and activity ratios (²³²Th chain)

Segment	Nuclide	Equilibrium	Activity ratio	
		fraction	Alpha	Beta
		Eq _{si}	$R_{\alpha si}$	$R_{\beta si}$
Th232	Th232	1	1	
Ra228+	Ra228	1		1
	Ac228	1		1
Th228+	Th228	1	1	
	Ra224	1	1	
	<i>Rn220</i>	1	1	
	Po216	1	1	
	Pb212	1		1
	Bi212	1	0.359	0.641
	Po212	0.641	1	
	T1208	0.359		1

Table 3 and Table 4 present the ratio R $_{s}$ (respectively R $_{s}$) between the alpha (respectively beta) and total activity of each segment. They also give the effective dose by inhalation $e_{s1}(AMAD,GSD)$ of the whole segment per unit activity of the first radionuclide of the segment, for values of AMAD and GSD of 5 μ m and 2.5 respectively.

In these tables, segments purely (or almost purely) constituted of alpha emitters are presented in yellow while those constituted of purely (or almost purely) beta emitters are presented in blue.

Segment	Activit	y ratio	Effective dose coefficient by inhalation		
	Alpha	Beta	e _{s1} (AM	AD=5µm, GS	SD=2.5)
	Ras	R _{βs}	Fast	Moderate	Slow
			Sv.Bq ⁻¹	Sv.Bq ⁻¹	Sv.Bq ⁻¹
U238+	1.00	2.00	5.9E-07	1.7E-06	5.7E-06
U234	1.00		6.5E-07	2.1E-06	6.8E-06
Th230	1.00		1.2E-04	2.8E-05	7.2E-06
Ra226+	4.00	2.00	4.4E-07	2.2E-06	6.9E-06
Pb210+		2.00	1.1E-06	7.4E-07	4.3E-06
Po210	1.00		7.3E-07	2.2E-06	2.7E-06

Table3 : Activity ratios and effective dose coefficient for each segment (²³⁸U chain)

	Table4 : Activity	ratios and	effective of	dose coefficient	for each	segment ($(^{232}$ Th (chain)
--	-------------------	------------	--------------	------------------	----------	-----------	----------------	--------

Segment	Activit	y ratio	Effective dose coefficient by inhalation		
	Alpha	Beta	$e_{s1}(AMAD=5\mu m, GSD=2.5)$		
	Ras	$R_{\beta s}$	Fast Moderate S		Slow
			Sv.Bq ⁻¹	Sv.Bq ⁻¹	Sv.Bq ⁻¹
Th232	1.00		1.3E-04	2.9E-05	1.2E-05
Ra228+		2.00	1.1E-06	1.7E-06	1.1E-05
Th228+	5.00	2.00	3.4E-05	2.2E-05	2.5E-05

2.2 Characteristics of the methods considered for air samples analysis

Five analysis methods have been considered: gross alpha counting with low and high background levels, and gross beta counting with low and high background levels, as, characterised by their total yield (detection efficiency) Yt and background rate B; and gravimetric analysis (see table 5).

Aı M	nalysis lethod	Detection efficiency	Background rate
		Yt	В
			s ⁻¹
Gross a	Low background	0.333	0.001
counting	High background	0.333	0.01
Gross B	Low background	0.5	0.01
counting	High background	0.5	0.1
Gravimetry		1.0	-
		1	

2.3 Limits of detection

For information on counting statistics, and the concepts of critical level (Lc), Limit of detection (Ld) and Limit of determination (Lq), the reader is referred to [2] - [5]. How these concepts define the three principal analytical regions is illustrated in figure 1.



Figure 1: The three principal analytical regions

Figure 2 presents, the variation with counting duration of the limits of detection for the four radiometric analysis methods considered.

Table 6 presents the variation with counting duration of the limits of detection for the four considered methods of radioanalysis of air samples as well as the limit of detection for the analysis by gravimetry (k = 1.645).



Figure 2: Evolution with counting duration of the limits of detection in activity Ld_a associated with gross alpha and beta radioanalysis (k = 1.645)

Analysis	Ι	limit of	Counting duration						
method	d	etection				T _C			
						(h)			
			0.06	0.25	1.00	4.00	16.00	32.00	64.00
Gross α	Ld _{aα} (Bq)	Low background	6.6E-02	2.4E-02	9.6E-03	4.3E-03	2.0E-03	1.4E-03	9.6E-04
counting		High background	1.3E-01	5.6E-02	2.6E-02	1.2E-02	6.0E-03	4.2E-03	3.0E-03
Gross β	Ld _{aβ} (Bq)	Low background	8.6E-02	3.7E-02	1.7E-02	8.1E-03	4.0E-03	2.8E-03	2.0E-03
counting		High background	6.4E-01	3.2E-01	1.6E-01	7.8E-02	3.9E-02	2.8E-02	1.9E-02
Gravi- metry	Ld _G (g)		1.00E-04						

 Table 6: Limits of detection in activity/mass of the considered analysis methods

In quantitative analysis one is interested in a net value of the signal sufficiently close to the true value, e.g. in a coefficient of variation sufficiently small. The

limit of determination Lq is defined as the maximum true value of the net signal above which the standard deviation remains below a predefined value k_q . If the true value of the net signal is above the limit of determination Lq the relative uncertainty will be below $1/k_q$. The limit of determination is given by the following formula:

 $Lq = k_q \sigma_q$

3 CONVERSION TO EFFECTIVE DOSES

3.1 From airborne activity concentration of the first radionuclide of a segment

The following formula presents the conversion of the airborne activity concentration of the first radionuclide of a segment s of radionuclides C_{s1} into the effective dose by inhalation of the whole segment E_s :

 $\begin{array}{ll} E_s &= Br \;.\; Te \;.\; \sum_i e_{si}(AMAD, GSD) \;.\; C_{si} \\ &= Br \;.\; Te \;.\; e_{s1}(AMAD, GSD) \;.\; C_{s1} \end{array}$

with

** 1011	
Es	Effective dose by inhalation of segment s (Sv)
Br	Breathing rate $(m^3 \cdot h^{-1})$
Te	Exposure duration (h)
e _{si} (AMAD, GSD)	Effective dose coefficient for the ith radionuclide of segment s (Sv.Bq ⁻¹)
C _{si}	Airborne activity concentration of the ith radionuclide of segment s (Bq.m ⁻³)
e _{s1} (AMAD, GSD)	Effective dose coefficient for segment s (effective dose / unit activity
	of the first radionuclide of segment s) $(Sv.Bq^{-1})$
C _{s1}	Airborne activity concentration of the first radionuclide of segment s (Bq.m ⁻³)
S	Set of radionuclides in a given status of equilibrium
where	

where $e_{s1}(AMAD, GSD) = \sum_{i} Eq_{si} \cdot e_{si}(AMAD, GSD)$ and $Eq_{si} = C_{si} / C_{s1}$

3.2 From activity or mass of one segment on a filter

3.2.1 Alpha and beta counting

The following formula shows the determination of the airborne activity concentration of the first radionuclide of a segment/chain on the basis of the total alpha (or beta) activity $A_{\alpha\beta s}$ deposited on the filtrating medium:

$$C_{s1} = A_{\alpha\beta s} / (R_{\alpha\beta s} . R_x(AMAD, GSD) . Fr . Ts)$$

(alpha or beta counting)

with

$A_{\alpha\beta s}$	Total alpha (or beta) activity from segment s deposited on the filter (Bq)
$R_{\alpha\beta s}$	Ratio between the total alpha (or beta) activity of segment s and
	the activity of the first radionuclide of segment s
R _x (AMAD, GSD)	Ratio between the true airborne activity concentration and

	the sampled activity concentration for a type x sampler
Fr	Sampler flow rate $(m^3 \cdot h^{-1})$
Ts	Sampling duration (h)
$R_{\alpha\beta si}$	Ratio between the alpha (or beta) activity and total activity
	of the ith radionuclide of segment s
where	

 $R_{\alpha\beta s} = \sum_{i} Eq_{si}$. $R_{\alpha\beta si}$ (alpha or beta counting)

The effective dose by inhalation E_s of the radionuclides of a given segment s is thus derived from the total alpha (or beta) total activity $A_{\alpha\beta s}$ from segment s deposited on the filtrating medium by the following formula:

Es	= $A_{\alpha\beta s}$. Br . Te . e_{s1} (AMAD, GSD) / ($R_{\alpha\beta s}$. R_x (AMAD, GSD) . Fr . Ts)	
	for $\mathbf{R}_{\alpha\beta s} \neq 0$	(alpha or beta counting)

3.2.2 Gravimetric analysis

The following formula presents the determination of the airborne activity concentration of the first radionuclide of a segment/chain, on the basis of the mass deposited on the filtrating medium as well as the specific activity of the parent radionuclide.

 $C_{s1} = M \cdot S_{s1} / (R_x(AMAD, GSD) \cdot Fr \cdot Ts)$

with

М	Mass deposited on the filter (kg)
S _{s1}	Specific activity of the first radionuclide of segment s (Bq.kg ⁻¹)

The effective dose by inhalation of the radionuclides of a given segment is thus derived from the mass deposited on the filtrating medium by the following formula:

E.	$=$ Br . Te . e_{s1} (AMAD, GS)	D). M. S _{s1} . / (R_x (AM)	AD. GSD) . Fr . Ts)	(gravimetry)
	,,, _,, _			(B- ··· ·) /

4 DETAILED RESULTS OF AIR SAMPLING SENSITIVITY

The detailed results of the analysis of air sampling methods are presented below. They are based on one hour gross alpha and beta counting with low and high background, on gravimetric analysis and a representative air sampling rate of PAS of 120 l/h.

4.1 Minimum detectable annual doses (one day sampling, 1 hour counting, 1 Bq/g)

The minimum detectable annual doses for the radionuclides, chains and chain segments of the U-238 and Th-232 decay series are shown in Tables 7 and 8. It should also be noted that:

- detectable annual doses for 1 week (5 days) and 1.6 h-long samples may be easily derived by multiplying these results by 0.2 and 5, respectively;
- detectable annual doses for different specific activity may also be easily obtained by multiplying these results by the specific activity value;
- detectable annual doses for different analysis technique detection limits may be easily derived by multiplying these results by the new detection limit divided by the detection limit considered in these calculations; and that
- detectable annual doses for different counting duration may be derived from Figure 3.



Figure 3: Conversion factor to apply to the detectable doses for a 1 h counting duration to derive the detectable dose for any counting duration.

	Minimum detectable annual dose										
	(120 l/h sampling rate, 1 h counting, 1 day-long samples)										
Absorn-	Segment	Ld	*	Ld	*	Ldad ca **					
tion Type	Segment	Alı	oha	Be	eta	Gravimetrv					
cion 19pc		Low	High	Low	High	$\mathbf{S}_{c1} =$					
		Background	background	background	background	1Ba/g					
		mSv/year	mSv/year	mSv/year	mSv/year	mSv/year					
Fast	U238++	2.9E-01	7.8Ĕ-01	6.9E-01	6.3E+00	2.4E-02					
	U238+	1.1E-02	3.0E-02	1.0E-02	9.2E-02	1.2E-04					
	U234	1.3E-02	3.3E-02			1.3E-04					
	Th230	2.3E+00	6.0E+00			2.4E-02					
	Ra226+	2.1E-03	5.6E-03	7.5E-03	6.9E-02	8.8E-05					
	Pb210+			1.9E-02	1.7E-01	2.2E-04					
	Po210	1.4E-02	3.7E-02			1.5E-04					
Moderate	U238++	8.8E-02	2.3E-01	2.1E-01	1.9E+00	7.3E-03					
	U238+	3.2E-02	8.5E-02	2.8E-02	2.6E-01	3.3E-04					
	U234	4.1E-02	1.1E-01			4.2E-04					
	Th230	5.3E-01	1.4E+00			5.5E-03					
	Ra226+	1.1E-02	2.8E-02	3.7E-02	3.4E-01	4.4E-04					
	Pb210+			1.3E-02	1.2E-01	1.5E-04					
	Po210	4.2E-02	1.1E-01			4.3E-04					
Slow	U238++	8.1E-02	2.2E-01	1.9E-01	1.8E+00	6.7E-03					
	U238+	1.1E-01	2.9E-01	9.7E-02	9.0E-01	1.2E-03					
	U234	1.3E-01	3.5E-01			1.4E-03					
	Th230	1.4E-01	3.7E-01			1.4E-03					
	Ra226+	3.3E-02	8.8E-02	1.2E-01	1.1E+00	1.4E-03					
	Pb210+			7.3E-02	6.7E-01	8.5E-04					
	Po210	5.2E-02	1.4E-01			5.4E-04					

Table 7. Minimum detectable annual dose, Ld_{ad,} for nuclides and chain segments ofthe U-238 decay series (120 l/h sampling rate, 1 h counting, 1 day-long samples)

(*) Tc = 1 h

(**) $Ld_{ad Gs} = Ld_{ad}$ 'Gs for $S_{s1} = 1 Bq/g$

	Minimum detectable annual dose											
	(120 l/h sampling rate, 1 h counting, 1 day-long samples)											
Absorp-	Segment	ment Ld _{ad as} * Ld _{ad bs} * Ld _{ad Gs} **										
tion Type	_	Alp	ha	Be	eta	Gravimetry						
		Low	High	Low	High	$S_{s1} =$						
		background	background	background	background	1Bq/g						
		mSv/year	mSv/year	mSv/year	mSv/year	mSv/year						
Fast	Th232++	5.2E-01	1.4E+00	1.4E+00	1.3E+01	3.3E-02						
	Th232	2.5E+00	6.5E+00			2.6E-02						
	Ra228+			1.9E-02	1.7E-01	2.2E-04						
	Th228+	1.3E-01	3.5E-01	5.8E-01	5.4E+00	6.8E-03						
Moderate	Th232++	1.7E-01	4.5E-01	4.5E-01	4.2E+00	1.1E-02						
	Th232	5.6E-01	1.5E+00			5.8E-03						
	Ra228+			2.9E-02	2.7E-01	3.4E-04						
	Th228+	8.5E-02	2.3E-01	3.8E-01	3.5E+00	4.4E-03						
Slow	Th232++	1.6E-01	4.1E-01	4.1E-01	3.8E+00	9.7E-03						
	Th232	2.3E-01	6.1E-01			2.4E-03						
	Ra228+			1.9E-01	1.8E+00	2.3E-03						
	Th228+	9.7E-02	2.6E-01	4.3E-01	4.0E+00	5.0E-03						

Table 8. Minimum detectable annual dose, Ld_{ad}, for nuclides and chain segments of the Th-232 decay series (120 l/h sampling rate, 1 h counting, 1 day-long samples)

(*) Tc = 1 h

(**) $Ld_{ad Gs} = Ld_{ad}$ 'Gs for $S_{s1} = 1 Bq/g$

4.2 Minimum counting time and maximum specific activity for determining 1 mSv/a with 10% coefficient of variation

Minimum counting times and maximum specific activities required for determining exposures of 1 mSv/a with 10% coefficient of variation are provided in Tables 9 and 10 for the U-238 and the Th-232 decay series, respectively. They are based on a sampling time of 1 day (one shift). Note that for 5 day sampling times the minimum counting times and maximum specific activities should be divided by a factor of 5 and for 1.6 hour sampling times multiplied by factor of 5.

4.3 Minimum sampling duration to dertermine 1 mSv/a with a 10% coefficient of variation

Tables 11 and 12 show the minimum sampling times at 120 l/h required to determine an exposure of 1 mSv/a with 10% coefficient of variation. The results are based on an assumed counting time of up to 16 hours.

4.4 Summary of capacities of analytical methods to determine 1 mSv/a with 10% coefficient of variation

The capacities of the analytical methods to determine 1 mSv/a with a 10% coefficient of variation have been summarised in Table 13 and 14 for the U-238 and Th-232 chains respectively. The assumed maximum counting time is 16 hours and the maximum specific activity is < 10 Bq/g.

Table 9: Minimum counting duration / Maximum specific activity to determine 1 mSv/year with a 10% coefficient of variation (120 l/h sampling rate, 1 day-long samples) for radionuclides and chain segments of the U-238 decay chain

	Minimu to determ	m counting du	ration / Maxin r with a 10% (mum specific a	activity variation			
	(1	.20 l/h samplin	ig rate, 1 day	-long samples)				
Absorption	Segment Tc min S _{s1} max							
Туре		Alj	pha	Be	eta	Gravimetry		
		Low	High	Low	High			
		background	background	background	background			
		Н	h	h	h	Bq/g		
Fast	U238++	3.0E+00	7.16E+00	5.9E+00	3.7E+02	1.4E+01		
	U238+	9.9E-02	1.1E-01	3.3E-02	1.1E-01	2.8E+03		
	U234	1.1E-01	1.2E-01			2.5E+03		
	Th230	4.8E+01	3.0E+02			1.4E+01		
	Ra226+	1.8E-02	1.9E-02	2.5E-02	6.8E-02	3.7E+03		
	Pb210+			6.4E-02	3.4E-01	1.5E+03		
	Po210	1.2E-01	1.3E-01			2.3E+03		
Moderate	U238++	8.0E-01	1.2E+00	1.0E+00	347E+01	4.5E+01		
	U238+	2.8E-01	3.3E-01	9.8E-02	7.0E-01	9.9E+02		
	U234	3.6E-01	4.4E-01			7.8E+02		
	Th230	6.2E+00	2.0E+01			5.9E+01		
	Ra226+	9.2E-02	9.7E-02	1.3E-01	1.2E+00	7.5E+02		
	Pb210+			4.3E-02	1.6E-01	2.2E+03		
	Po210	3.7E-01	4.5E-01			7.6E+02		
Slow	U238++	7.4E-01	1.1E+00	9.0E-01	2.9E+01	4.9E+01		
	U238+	1.0E+00	1.6E+00	3.9E-01	7.6E+00	2.9E+02		
	U234	1.2E+00	2.1E+00			2.4E+02		
	Th230	1.3E+00	2.2E+00			2.3E+02		
	Ra226+	2.9E-01	3.5E-01	4.9E-01	1.1E+01	2.4E+02		
	Pb210+			2.8E-01	4.3E+00	3.9E+02		
	Po210	4.6E-01	5.9E-01			6.1E+02		

Tc min < 1 h	1 h < Tc min < 16 h	Tc min> 16 h
$S_{s1} max < 10$	$10 < S_{s1} max < 100$	$S_{s1} max > 100$

Table 10: Minimum counting duration / Maximum specific activity to determine 1 mSv/year with a 10% coefficient of variation (120 l/h sampling rate, 1 day-long samples) for radionuclides and chain segments of the Th-232 decay chain

	Minimum counting duration / Maximum specific activity to determine 1 mSv/year with a 10% coefficient of variation (120 l/h sampling rate, 1 day-long samples)								
Absorption	Segment	r	Tc	min		S _{s1} max			
Туре		Al	oha	Be	eta	Gravimetry			
		Low background	LowHighLowHighbackgroundbackgroundbackgroundbackground						
		Н	h	h	h	Bq/g			
Fast	Th232++	6.0E+00	1.9E+01	1.9E+01	1.5E+03	1.0E+01			
	Th232	5.4E+01	3.5E+02			1.3E+01			
	Ra228+			6.4E-02	3.3E-01	1.5E+03			
	Th228+	1.2E+00	2.1E+00	4.50E+00	2.6E+02	4.8E+01			
Moderate	Th232++	1.6E+00	3.0E+00	3.0E+00	1.6E+02	3.1E+01			
	Th232	6.6E+00	2.2E+01			5.6E+01			
	Ra228+			1.0E-01	7.3E-01	9.7E+02			
	Th228+	7.8E-01	1.1E+00	2.3E+00	1.1E+02	7.4E+01			
Slow	Th232++	1.5E+00	2.7E+00	2.7E+00	1.3E+02	3.4E+01			
	Th232	2.3E+00	4.9E+00			1.4E+02			
	Ra228+			9.2E-01	3.E+01	1.4E+02			
	Th228+	8.9E-01	1.4E+00	2.8E+00	1.4E+02	6.5E+01			

Tc min < 1 h	1 h < Tc min < 16 h	Tc min> 16 h
$S_{s1} max < 10$	$10 < S_{s1} max < 100$	$S_{s1} max > 100$

Minimum s	ampling duration	* to determine	1 mSv/year wit	h a 10% coeffi	cient of variatio	on (120 l/h)		
Absorption	Segment		Tc min < 16 h					
Туре								
		Al	Alpha Beta (
		Low	High	Low	High			
		background	background	background	background			
		D	D	D	W	D		
	U238+	Н	Н	Н	Н	H		
	U234	Н	Н			Н		
	Th230	W	W			D		
	Ra226+	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н		
	Pb210+			Н	Н	Н		
	Po210	Н	Н			Н		
Moderate	U238++	Н	Н	Н	W	D		
	U238+	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н		
	U234	Н	Н			Н		
	Th230	D	W			Н		
	Ra226+	Н	Н	Н	D	Н		
	Pb210+			Н	Н	Н		
	Po210	Н	Н			Н		
Slow	U238++	Н	Н	н	W	Н		
	U238+	Н	D	Н	D	H		
	U234	Н	D			Н		
	Th230	Н	D			Н		
	Ra226+	Н	Н	Н	D	Н		
	Pb210+			Н	D	Н		
	Po210	Н	Н			Н		

Table 11: Minimum sampling duration to determine 1 mSv/year with a 10% coefficient of variation (120 l/h) for radionuclides and chain segments of the U-238 decay chain

Hourly Daily Weekly </br>

(*) H: 1.5 h sampling (1000 samples/year), D: Daily sampling (200 samples/year), W: Weekly sampling (40 samples/year)

Bh: Gross beta counting, high background (Min. counting duration < 16h)

G: Gravimetry (Max. specific activity > 10Bq/g)

Table 12: Minimum sampling duration to determine 1 mSv/year with a 10% coefficient of variation (120 l/h) for radionuclides and chain segments of the Th-232 decay chain

Minimur	n sampling duratio	on * to detect 1	mSv/year with	a 10% coefficie	ent of variation	(120 l/h)			
Absorption	Segment		Tc min < 16 h						
Туре						10 Bq/g			
		Al	oha	Be	eta	Gravimetry			
		Low	Low High Low High						
		background	background	background	background				
Fast	Th232++	D	W	W		D			
	Th232	W	W			D			
	Ra228+			Н	Н	Н			
	Th228+	Н	D	D	W	D			
Moderate	Th232++	Н	D	D	W	D			
	Th232	D	W			Н			
	Ra228+			Н	Н	Н			
	Th228+	Н	Н	D	W	Н			
Slow	Th232++	Н	D	D	W	D			
	Th232	D	D			Н			
	Ra228+			H	W	H			
	Th228+	Н	D	D	W	Н			

Hourly Daily Weekly

(*) H: 1.5 h sampling (1000 samples/year), D: Daily sampling (200 samples/year), W: Weekly sampling (40 samples/year)

Bh: Gross beta counting, high background (Min. counting duration < 16h)

G: Gravimetry (Max. specific activity > 10Bq/g)

Capacity of	the analysis m coeffic	ethods * to ient of var	o dete iatior	rmine 1 (120 l	1 mS l/h))	Sv/ye	ar wit	th a 1	0%
Absorption Type	Segment	1.6 h - long		1 da lo	ay - ng		1 w lo	eek - ong	
		samples	5	sam	ples		san	ples	
Fast	U238++			Ah	Bl		Ah	Bh	G
	U238+	Ah Bh	G	Ah	Bh	G	Ah	Bh	G
	U234	Ah	G	Ah		G	Ah		G
	Th230					G	Ah		G
	Ra226+	Ah Bh	G	Ah	Bh	G	Ah	Bh	G
	Pb210+	Bh	G		Bh	G		Bh	G
	Po210	Ah	G	Ah		G	Ah		G
Moderate	U238++	Ah Bl		Ah	Bl	G	Ah	Bh	G
	U238+	Ah Bh	G	Ah	Bh	G	Ah	Bh	G
	U234	Ah	G	Ah		G	Ah		G
	Th230		G	Al		G	Ah		G
	Ra226+	Ah Bl	G	Ah	Bh	G	Ah	Bh	G
	Pb210+	Bh	G		Bh	G		Bh	G
	Po210	Ah	G	Ah		G	Ah		G
Slow	U238++	Ah Bl	G	Ah	Bl	G	Ah	Bh	G
	U238+	Al Bl	G	Ah	Bh	G	Ah	Bh	G
	U234	Al	G	Ah		G	Ah		G
	Th230	Al	G	Ah		G	Ah		G
	Ra226+	Ah Bl	G	Ah	Bh	G	Ah	Bh	G
	Pb210+	Bl	G		Bh	G		Bh	G
	Po210	Bh	G		Bh	G		Bh	G

Table 13 : Capacity of the analysis methods to determine 1 mSv/year with a 10% coefficientof variation (120 l/h sampling rate, hour/day/week-long samples), U-238 chain

Al: Gross alpha counting, low background

Ah: Gross alpha counting, high background

Bl: Gross beta counting, low background

G: Gravimetry

(Min. counting duration < 16h) (Min. counting duration < 16h) (Min. counting duration < 16h) (Max. specific act. > 10Bq/g)

Capacity o	of the analysis n coeffic	netho cient o	ds to of var	deter iatior	mine 1 n (120	1 mSv l/h)	v/yea	r witl	1 a 1()%
Absorp- tion Type	Segment	1.0 lo san	6 h - ong nples	5	1 d lo san	lay - ong iples		1 w lo san	eek - ong 1ples	
Fast	Th232++				Al		G	Ah	Bl	G
	Th232						G	Ah		G
	Ra228+		В	G		Bh	G		Bh	G
			h			1				
	Th228+	Al			Ah	Bl	G	Ah	Bh	G
Moderate	Th232++	Al			Ah	Bl	G	Ah	Bh	G
	Th232			G	Al		G	Ah		G
	Ra228+		Bl	G		Bh	G		Bh	G
	Th228+	Ah		G	Ah	Bl	G	Ah	Bh	G
Slow	Th232++	Al			Ah	Bl	G	Ah	Bh	G
	Th232			G	Ah		G	Ah		G
	Ra228+		Bl	G		Bl	G		Bh	G
	Th228+	Al		G	Ah	Bl	G	Ah	Bh	G

Table 14 : Capacity of the analysis methods to determine 1 mSv/year with a 10% coefficient of variation (120 l/h sampling rate, hour/day/week-long samples), Th-232 chain

Al: Gross alpha counting, low background

Ah: Gross alpha counting, high background

Bl: Gross beta counting, low background

(Min. counting duration < 16h) (Min. counting duration < 16h) (Min. counting duration < 16h) (Max. specific act. > 10Bq/g)

G: Gravimetry

SMOPIE Annex 3 Appendix 4 Sensitivity of air sampling methods

4 REFERENCES

- [1] JP Degrange, Exposure by inhalation to NORMs: Sensitivity of Air Sampling Techniques and Bioassays, CEPN-NTE-04/15, June 2004.
- [2] L.A. Currie, Limits for quantitative detection and quantitative determination: Application to radiochemistry, Analytical Chemistry, Vol. 40, N°3, March 1968.
- [3] J.A. MacLellan, and DJ Strom, Traditional Formulas For Decision Level Are Wrong For Small Numbers of Counts, in proceedings of the Bioassay Analytical & Environmental Radiochemistry (BAER) Conference, Gaithersburg, 1999 Oct 18-22, 1999. <u>http://bidug.pnl.gov/presentations/baer1999/BAER99_MacLellanJA_StromDJ.PDF</u>
- [4] L.A Currie, Lower Limit of Detection: Definition and Elaboration of a Proposed Position for Radiological Effluent and Environmental Measurements, NUREG/CR-4007, Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, 1984.
- [5] S.H. Fong and J.L. Alvarez, When is a lower limit of detection low enough?, Health Physics Vol. 72, N° 2, 1997.